

9 each agent (5) managing attribute tables belonging to the resource machine (2b), the
10 instances of the tables being referenced by identifiers comprising indexes,
11 comprising:
12 ▪ transforming a complex filter (F1) derived from the complex request addressed to
13 agent (5) from the manager (4) of the application machine (2a) into a simplified
14 filter (F2) comprising only conditions on indexes, and adapted to let through all
15 the SNMP requests whose responses could verify the complex filter (F1), but
16 filter out all the SNMP requests whose responses cannot in any way verify the
17 complex filter (F1);
18 ▪ limiting the SNMP requests to those that comply with the simplified filter (F2);
19 ▪ transmitting said limited SNMP requests to the SNMP agent (5) of the resource
20 machine (2b) through the network (3); and
21 ▪ applying the complex filter (F1) to the responses obtained to the SNMP requests;
22 to thereby process said complex request and to optimize the number of the SNMP
23 requests transmitted through the network (3).

1 14. A method according to claim 13, wherein an identifier just below an
2 identifier of the potential instance determined is a test identifier further comprising:
3 1) determining the first potential instance that verifies the simplified filter (F2);
4 2) using an SNMP request to find the instance of the table having as its
5 identifier the one that follows the test identifier and if no instance is found,
6 terminating the processing method, if an instance is found, naming the
7 instance found a solution instance;
8 3); determining whether the solution instance is part of the response to the
9 complex request processed by verifying the complex filter (F1) and upon

10 verification of the complex filter (F1), applying the complex filter (F1) to
11 the solution instance;
12 4) determining the first potential instance whose identifier is higher than the
13 identifier of the solution instance and that verifies the simplified filter (F2)
14 and terminating the processing method if no instance is found and if an
15 instance is found, naming the identifier that is just below the identifier of
16 the potential instance a test identifier and resuming the step of using the
17 SNMP request to find the instance of the table having as its identifier the
18 one that follows the test identifier..

1 15. A method according to claim 14 comprising in the step of transforming
2 the complex filter (F1) into the simplified filter (F2) having the form:
3 (OR
4 (AND
5 condition on index 1: C₁₍₁₎
6 condition on index 2: C₂₍₁₎
7 ...
8 condition on index n: C_{n(1)}
9)
10 ...
11 (AND
12 condition on index 1: C_{1(i)}
13 condition on index 2: C_{2(i)}
14 ...
15 condition on index n: C_{n(i)}

16)

17 ...

18).

1 16. A method according to claim 14, wherein in the first step, after
2 simplification, the simplified filter (F2) is reduced to:

- 3 ▪ only the TRUE condition, in which case the table is scanned in its entirety;
4 ▪ only the FALSE condition, in which case no instance can work.

1 17 A method according to claim 15, wherein in the first step, after
2 simplification, the simplified filter (F2) is reduced to:

- 3 ▪ only the TRUE condition, in which case the table is scanned in its entirety;
4 ▪ only the FALSE condition, in which case no instance can work.

1 18. A method according to claim 14, characterized in that, in order to
2 obtain the simplified filter F2, immediately verifying whether the complex filter
3 responds to rules defining filters that are not verified by any instance.

1 19. A method according to claim 15, characterized in that, in order to
2 obtain the simplified filter F2, immediately verifying whether the complex filter
3 responds to rules defining filters that are not verified by any instance.

1 20. A method according to claim 16, characterized in that, in order to
2 obtain the simplified filter F2, immediately verifying whether the complex filter
3 responds to rules defining filters that are not verified by any instance.

1 21. A method according to claim 17, characterized in that, in order to
2 obtain the simplified filter F2, immediately verifying whether the complex filter
3 responds to rules defining filters that are not verified by any instance.

1 22. A method according to claim 13 characterized in that, in order to obtain
2 a simplified filter F2,
3 • transforming the complex filter (F1) into a combination of conditions on the
4 attributes joined by the logical operators AND, OR and NOT;
5 • pushing NOT operators to the leaves and deleting double NOTs (NOT
6 ▪ NOT);
7 ▪ deleting the conditions X affecting the attributes that are not indexes;
8 ▪ simplifying the resulting operations;
9 ▪ factoring the nested ANDs and ORs;
10 ▪ gathering the conditions related to the same index; and
11 ▪ gathering all the ORs at the route of the filter and simplifying the resulting
12 operations again.

1 23. A method according to claim 14 characterized in that, in order to obtain
2 a simplified filter F2,
3 • transforming the complex filter (F1) into a combination of conditions on the
4 attributes joined by the logical operators AND, OR and NOT;
5 • pushing NOT operators to the leaves and deleting double NOTs (NOT
6 ▪ NOT);
7 ▪ deleting the conditions X affecting the attributes that are not indexes;

- 8 ▪ simplifying the resulting operations;
- 9 ▪ factoring the nested ANDs and ORs;
- 10 ▪ gathering the conditions related to the same index; and
- 11 ▪ gathering all the ORs at the route of the filter and simplifying the resulting
- 12 operations again.

- 1 24. A method according to claim 15 characterized in that, in order to obtain
- 2 a simplified filter F2,
- 3 • transforming the complex filter (F1) into a combination of conditions on the
- 4 attributes joined by the logical operators AND, OR and NOT;
- 5 • pushing NOT operators to the leaves and deleting double NOTs (NOT
- 6 ▪ NOT);
- 7 ▪ deleting the conditions X affecting the attributes that are not indexes;
- 8 ▪ simplifying the resulting operations;
- 9 ▪ factoring the nested ANDs and ORs;
- 10 ▪ gathering the conditions related to the same index; and
- 11 ▪ gathering all the ORs at the route of the filter and simplifying the resulting
- 12 operations again.

- 1 25. A method according to claim 16 characterized in that, in order to obtain
- 2 a simplified filter F2,
- 3 • transforming the complex filter (F1) into a combination of conditions on the
- 4 attributes joined by the logical operators AND, OR and NOT;
- 5 • pushing NOT operators to the leaves and deleting double NOTs (NOT
- 6 ▪ NOT);

- 7 ▪ deleting the conditions X affecting the attributes that are not indexes;
- 8 ▪ simplifying the resulting operations;
- 9 ▪ factoring the nested ANDs and ORs;
- 10 ▪ gathering the conditions related to the same index; and
- 11 ▪ gathering all the ORs at the route of the filter and simplifying the resulting operations again.

- 1 26. A method according to claim 17 characterized in that, in order to obtain
2 a simplified filter F2,
 - 3 • transforming the complex filter (F1) into a combination of conditions on the
4 attributes joined by the logical operators AND, OR and NOT;
 - 5 • pushing NOT operators to the leaves and deleting double NOTs (NOT
6 NOT);
 - 7 ▪ deleting the conditions X affecting the attributes that are not indexes;
 - 8 ▪ simplifying the resulting operations;
 - 9 ▪ factoring the nested ANDs and ORs;
 - 10 ▪ gathering the conditions related to the same index; and
 - 11 ▪ gathering all the ORs at the route of the filter and simplifying the resulting operations again.

- 1 27. A method according to claim 18 characterized in that, in order to obtain
2 a simplified filter F2,
 - 3 • transforming the complex filter (F1) into a combination of conditions on the
4 attributes joined by the logical operators AND, OR and NOT;
 - 5 • pushing NOT operators to the leaves and deleting double NOTs (NOT

- 6 ▪ NOT);
7 ▪ deleting the conditions X affecting the attributes that are not indexes;
8 ▪ simplifying the resulting operations;
9 ▪ factoring the nested ANDs and ORs;
10 ▪ gathering the conditions related to the same index; and
11 ▪ gathering all the ORs at the route of the filter and simplifying the resulting
12 operations again.

1 28. A method according to claim 22, comprising replacing the conditions X
2 and NOT X with the constant TRUE in order to delete the conditions X.

1 29. A method according to claim 23, comprising replacing the conditions X
2 and NOT X with the constant TRUE in order to delete the conditions X.

1 30. A method according to claim 24, comprising replacing the conditions X
2 and NOT X with the constant TRUE in order to delete the conditions X.

1 31. A method according to claim 25, comprising replacing the conditions X
2 and NOT X with the constant TRUE in order to delete the conditions X.

1 32. A method according to claim 18, having AND and OR operations and
2 characterized in that in order to simplify the operations, it consists of:
3 ▪ replacing AND and OR operations having only one operand with said one
4 operand;

- 5 ▪ replacing AND operations containing only TRUE operands with a constant TRUE
6 and OR operations containing only FALSE operands with a constant FALSE;
7 ▪ removing TRUE conditions from the other AND operations and FALSE conditions
8 from the other OR operations;
9 ▪ replacing OR operations containing at least one TRUE operation with a constant
10 TRUE and AND operations containing at least one FALSE operand with a
11 constant FALSE;
12 ▪ replacing conditions that are always TRUE with a constant TRUE and conditions
13 that are always FALSE with a constant FALSE;
14 all of said latter simplification operations being repeated as many times as it is
15 possible to do so.

- 1 33. A method according to claim 23, having AND and OR operations and
2 characterized in that in order to simplify the operations, it consists of:
3 ▪ replacing AND and OR operations having only one operand with said one
4 operand;
5 ▪ replacing AND operations containing only TRUE operands with a constant TRUE
6 and OR operations containing only FALSE operands with a constant FALSE;
7 ▪ removing TRUE conditions from the other AND operations and FALSE conditions
8 from the other OR operations;
9 ▪ replacing OR operations containing at least one TRUE operation with a constant
10 TRUE and AND operations containing at least one FALSE operand with a
11 constant FALSE;
12 replacing conditions that are always TRUE with a constant TRUE and conditions that
13 are always FALSE with a constant FALSE;

14 all of said latter simplification operations being repeated as many times as it is
15 possible to do so.

1 34. A method according to claim 24, having AND and OR operations and
2 characterized in that in order to simplify the operations, it consists of:
3 ▪ replacing AND and OR operations having only one operand with said one
4 operand;
5 ▪ replacing AND operations containing only TRUE operands with a constant TRUE
6 and OR operations containing only FALSE operands with a constant FALSE;
7 ▪ removing TRUE conditions from the other AND operations and FALSE conditions
8 from the other OR operations;
9 ▪ replacing OR operations containing at least one TRUE operation with a constant
10 TRUE and AND operations containing at least one FALSE operand with a
11 constant FALSE;
12 • replacing conditions that are always TRUE with a constant TRUE and conditions
13 that are always FALSE with a constant FALSE;
14 all of said latter simplification operations being repeated as many times as it is
15 possible to do so.

1 35. A method according to claim 25, having AND and OR operations and
2 characterized in that in order to simplify the operations, it consists of:
3 ▪ replacing AND and OR operations having only one operand with said one
4 operand;
5 ▪ replacing AND operations containing only TRUE operands with a constant TRUE
6 and OR operations containing only FALSE operands with a constant FALSE;

- 7 ▪ removing TRUE conditions from the other AND operations and FALSE conditions
8 from the other OR operations;
- 9 ▪ replacing OR operations containing at least one TRUE operation with a constant
10 TRUE and AND operations containing at least one FALSE operand with a
11 constant FALSE;
- 12 ▪ replacing conditions that are always TRUE with a constant TRUE and conditions
13 that are always FALSE with a constant FALSE;
- 14 all of said latter simplification operations being repeated as many times as it is
15 possible to do so.

- 1 36. A method according to claim 26, having AND and OR operations and
2 characterized in that in order to simplify the operations, it consists of:
- 3 ▪ replacing AND and OR operations having only one operand with said one
4 operand;
- 5 ▪ replacing AND operations containing only TRUE operands with a constant TRUE
6 and OR operations containing only FALSE operands with a constant FALSE;
- 7 ▪ removing TRUE conditions from the other AND operations and FALSE conditions
8 from the other OR operations;
- 9 ▪ replacing OR operations containing at least one TRUE operation with a constant
10 TRUE and AND operations containing at least one FALSE operand with a
11 constant FALSE;
- 12 ▪ replacing conditions that are always TRUE with a constant TRUE and conditions
13 that are always FALSE with a constant FALSE;
- 14 all of said latter simplification operations being repeated as many times as it is
15 possible to do so.

1 37. A method according to claim 27, having AND and OR operations and
2 characterized in that in order to simplify the operations, it consists of:
3 ▪ replacing AND and OR operations having only one operand with said one
4 operand;
5 ▪ replacing AND operations containing only TRUE operands with a constant TRUE
6 and OR operations containing only FALSE operands with a constant FALSE;
7 ▪ removing TRUE conditions from the other AND operations and FALSE conditions
8 from the other OR operations;
9 ▪ replacing OR operations containing at least one TRUE operation with a constant
10 TRUE and AND operations containing at least one FALSE operand with a
11 constant FALSE;
12 ▪ replacing conditions that are always TRUE with a constant TRUE and conditions
13 that are always FALSE with a constant FALSE;
14 all of said latter simplification operations being repeated as many times as it is
15 possible to do so.

1 38. A method according to claim 28, having AND and OR operations and
2 characterized in that in order to simplify the operations, it consists of:
3 ▪ replacing AND and OR operations having only one operand with said one
4 operand;
5 ▪ replacing AND operations containing only TRUE operands with a constant TRUE
6 and OR operations containing only FALSE operands with a constant FALSE;
7 ▪ removing TRUE conditions from the other AND operations and FALSE conditions
8 from the other OR operations;

- 9 ▪ replacing OR operations containing at least one TRUE operation with a constant
10 TRUE and AND operations containing at least one FALSE operand with a
11 constant FALSE;
12 ▪ replacing conditions that are always TRUE with a constant TRUE and conditions
13 that are always FALSE with a constant FALSE;
14 all of said latter simplification operations being repeated as many times as it is
15 possible to do so.

- 1 39. A method according to claim 29, having AND and OR operations and
2 characterized in that in order to simplify the operations, it consists of:
3 ▪ replacing AND and OR operations having only one operand with said one
4 operand;
5 ▪ replacing AND operations containing only TRUE operands with a constant TRUE
6 and OR operations containing only FALSE operands with a constant FALSE;
7 ▪ removing TRUE conditions from the other AND operations and FALSE conditions
8 from the other OR operations;
9 ▪ replacing OR operations containing at least one TRUE operation with a constant
10 TRUE and AND operations containing at least one FALSE operand with a
11 constant FALSE;
12 ▪ replacing conditions that are always TRUE with a constant TRUE and conditions
13 that are always FALSE with a constant FALSE;
14 all of said latter simplification operations being repeated as many times as it is
15 possible to do so.

1 40. A method according to claim 30, having AND and OR operations and
2 characterized in that in order to simplify the operations, it consists of:
3 ▪ replacing AND and OR operations having only one operand with said one
4 operand;
5 ▪ replacing AND operations containing only TRUE operands with a constant TRUE
6 and OR operations containing only FALSE operands with a constant FALSE;
7 ▪ removing TRUE conditions from the other AND operations and FALSE conditions
8 from the other OR operations;
9 ▪ replacing OR operations containing at least one TRUE operation with a constant
10 TRUE and AND operations containing at least one FALSE operand with a
11 constant FALSE;
12 ▪ replacing conditions that are always TRUE with a constant TRUE and conditions
13 that are always FALSE with a constant FALSE;
14 all of said latter simplification operations being repeated as many times as it is
15 possible to do so.

1 41. A method according to claim 31, having AND and OR operations and
2 characterized in that in order to simplify the operations, it consists of:
3 ▪ replacing AND and OR operations having only one operand with said one
4 operand;
5 ▪ replacing AND operations containing only TRUE operands with a constant TRUE
6 and OR operations containing only FALSE operands with a constant FALSE;
7 ▪ removing TRUE conditions from the other AND operations and FALSE conditions
8 from the other OR operations;

9 ▪ replacing OR operations containing at least one TRUE operation with a constant
10 TRUE and AND operations containing at least one FALSE operand with a
11 constant FALSE;
12 ▪ replacing conditions that are always TRUE with a constant TRUE and conditions
13 that are always FALSE with a constant FALSE;
14 all of said latter simplification operations being repeated as many times as it is
15 possible to do so.

1 42. A method according to claim 14 characterized in that the step of
2 determining the first potential instance that verifies the simplified filter comprises
3 concatenating the first value that verifies $C1_{(i)}$ with the first value that verifies $C2_{(i)}$,
4 and so on up to $Cn_{(i)}$, in order to obtain zero local potential instances $I1_0_{(i)}, I2_0_{(i)}, \dots$
5 $I_n_0_{(i)}$, the first possible value without a condition on a given index being the null
6 value, the potential instance corresponding to the smallest of the zero local potential
7 instances.

1 43. A method according to claim 15 characterized in that the step of
2 determining the first potential instance that verifies the simplified filter comprises
3 concatenating the first value that verifies $C1_{(i)}$ with the first value that verifies $C2_{(i)}$,
4 and so on up to $Cn_{(i)}$, in order to obtain zero local potential instances $I1_0_{(i)}, I2_0_{(i)}, \dots$
5 $I_n_0_{(i)}$, the first possible value without a condition on a given index being the null
6 value, the potential instance corresponding to the smallest of the zero local potential
7 instances.

1 44. A method according to claim 16 characterized in that the step of
2 determining the first potential instance that verifies the simplified filter comprises
3 concatenating the first value that verifies C_{1(i)} with the first value that verifies C_{2(i)},
4 and so on up to C_{n(i)}, in order to obtain zero local potential instances I_{1_0(i)}.I_{2_0(i)}. ...
5 I_{n_0(i)}, the first possible value without a condition on a given index being the null
6 value, the potential instance corresponding to the smallest of the zero local potential
7 instances.

1 45. A method according to claim 18 characterized in that the step of
2 determining the first potential instance that verifies the simplified filter comprises
3 concatenating the first value that verifies C_{1(i)} with the first value that verifies C_{2(i)},
4 and so on up to C_{n(i)}, in order to obtain zero local potential instances I_{1_0(i)}.I_{2_0(i)}. ...
5 I_{n_0(i)}, the first possible value without a condition on a given index being the null
6 value, the potential instance corresponding to the smallest of the zero local potential
7 instances.

1 46. A method according to claim 22 characterized in that the step of
2 determining the first potential instance that verifies the simplified filter comprises
3 concatenating the first value that verifies C_{1(i)} with the first value that verifies C_{2(i)},
4 and so on up to C_{n(i)}, in order to obtain zero local potential instances I_{1_0(i)}.I_{2_0(i)}. ...
5 I_{n_0(i)}, the first possible value without a condition on a given index being the null
6 value, the potential instance corresponding to the smallest of the zero local potential
7 instances.

1 47. A method according to claim 28 characterized in that the step of
2 determining the first potential instance that verifies the simplified filter comprises
3 concatenating the first value that verifies $C1_{(i)}$ with the first value that verifies $C2_{(i)}$,
4 and so on up to $Cn_{(i)}$, in order to obtain zero local potential instances $I1_0_{(i)}, I2_0_{(i)}, \dots$
5 $In_0_{(i)}$, the first possible value without a condition on a given index being the null
6 value, the potential instance corresponding to the smallest of the zero local potential
7 instances.

1 48. A method according to claim 32 characterized in that the step of
2 determining the first potential instance that verifies the simplified filter comprises
3 concatenating the first value that verifies $C1_{(i)}$ with the first value that verifies $C2_{(i)}$,
4 and so on up to $Cn_{(i)}$, in order to obtain zero local potential instances $I1_0_{(i)}, I2_0_{(i)}, \dots$
5 $In_0_{(i)}$, the first possible value without a condition on a given index being the null
6 value, the potential instance corresponding to the smallest of the zero local potential
7 instances.

1 49. A method according to claim 42, characterized in that the step of
2 determining the first potential instance whose identifier is higher than the identifier of
3 the solution instance comprises performing, for any i and as long as the index p is
4 greater than 0 or as long as no instance searched for has been found, the following
5 operations:

6 If there exists a $Jp_{(i)} > Ip$ that verifies the condition $Cp_{(i)}$, then the local
7 potential instance is formed in the following way:
8 - for any index $k < p$, we take the value Ik with $I1, I2, \dots, In$ being the
9 identifier of the solution instance;

10 - for the index p, we take the value $J_{p(i)}$;
11 - for any index $k > p$, we take the value $I_{k-0(i)}$;
12 Otherwise p takes the value p-1 and the method repeats the above
13 operations, the potential instance corresponding to the smallest of the local
14 potential instances obtained.

1 50. A method according to claim 43, characterized in that the step of
2 determining the first potential instance whose identifier is higher than the identifier of
3 the solution instance comprises performing, for any i and as long as the index p is
4 greater than 0 or as long as no instance searched for has been found, the following
5 operations:

6 If there exists a $J_{p(i)} > I_p$ that verifies the condition $C_{p(i)}$, then the local
7 potential instance is formed in the following way:
8 - for any index $k < p$, we take the value I_k with I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n being the
9 identifier of the solution instance;
10 - for the index p, we take the value $J_{p(i)}$;
11 - for any index $k > p$, we take the value $I_{k-0(i)}$;
12 Otherwise p takes the value p-1 and the method repeats the above
13 operations, the potential instance corresponding to the smallest of the local
14 potential instances obtained.

1 51. A method according to claim 44, characterized in that the step of
2 determining the first potential instance whose identifier is higher than the identifier of
3 the solution instance comprises performing, for any i and as long as the index p is

4 greater than 0 or as long as no instance searched for has been found, the following
5 operations:

6 If there exists a $J_{p(i)} > I_p$ that verifies the condition $C_{p(i)}$, then the local
7 potential instance is formed in the following way:

- 8 - for any index $k < p$, we take the value I_k with $I_1.I_2. \dots .In$ being the
9 identifier of the solution instance;
10 - for the index p , we take the value $J_{p(i)}$;
11 - for any index $k > p$, we take the value $I_{k-0(i)}$;

12 Otherwise p takes the value $p-1$ and the method repeats the above
13 operations, the potential instance corresponding to the smallest of the local
14 potential instances obtained.

1 52. A method according to claim 45, characterized in that the step of
2 determining the first potential instance whose identifier is higher than the identifier of
3 the solution instance comprises performing, for any i and as long as the index p is
4 greater than 0 or as long as no instance searched for has been found, the following
5 operations:

6 If there exists a $J_{p(i)} > I_p$ that verifies the condition $C_{p(i)}$, then the local
7 potential instance is formed in the following way:

- 8 - for any index $k < p$, we take the value I_k with $I_1.I_2. \dots .In$ being the
9 identifier of the solution instance;
10 - for the index p , we take the value $J_{p(i)}$;
11 - for any index $k > p$, we take the value $I_{k-0(i)}$;

12 Otherwise p takes the value p-1 and the method repeats the above
13 operations, the potential instance corresponding to the smallest of the local
14 potential instances obtained.

1 53. A method according to claim 14 characterized in that the steps of
2 determining the first potential instance that verifies the simplified filter and the first
3 potential instance whose identifier is higher than the identifier of the solution instance
4 consist of obtaining the test identifier from the identifier of the potential instance, by
5 subtracting one from its last number if the latter is different from 0, or by deleting this
6 last number if it is null.

1 54. A method according to claim 15 characterized in that the steps of
2 determining the first potential instance that verifies the simplified filter and the first
3 potential instance whose identifier is higher than the identifier of the solution instance
4 consist of obtaining the test identifier from the identifier of the potential instance, by
5 subtracting one from its last number if the latter is different from 0, or by deleting this
6 last number if it is null.

1 55. A method according to claim 16 characterized in that the steps of
2 determining the first potential instance that verifies the simplified filter and the first
3 potential instance whose identifier is higher than the identifier of the solution instance
4 consist of obtaining the test identifier from the identifier of the potential instance, by
5 subtracting one from its last number if the latter is different from 0, or by deleting this
6 last number if it is null.

1 56. A method according to claim 18 characterized in that the steps of
2 determining the first potential instance that verifies the simplified filter and the first
3 potential instance whose identifier is higher than the identifier of the solution instance
4 consist of obtaining the test identifier from the identifier of the potential instance, by
5 subtracting one from its last number if the latter is different from 0, or by deleting this
6 last number if it is null.

1 57. A method according to claim 22 characterized in that the steps of
2 determining the first potential instance that verifies the simplified filter and the first
3 potential instance whose identifier is higher than the identifier of the solution instance
4 consist of obtaining the test identifier from the identifier of the potential instance, by
5 subtracting one from its last number if the latter is different from 0, or by deleting this
6 last number if it is null.

1 58. A method according to claim 28 characterized in that the steps of
2 determining the first potential instance that verifies the simplified filter and the first
3 potential instance whose identifier is higher than the identifier of the solution instance
4 consist of obtaining the test identifier from the identifier of the potential instance, by
5 subtracting one from its last number if the latter is different from 0, or by deleting this
6 last number if it is null.

1 59. A method according to claim 32 characterized in that the steps of
2 determining the first potential instance that verifies the simplified filter and the first
3 potential instance whose identifier is higher than the identifier of the solution instance

4 consist of obtaining the test identifier from the identifier of the potential instance, by
5 subtracting one from its last number if the latter is different from 0, or by deleting this
6 last number if it is null.

1 60. A method according to claim 42 characterized in that the steps of
2 determining the first potential instance that verifies the simplified filter and the first
3 potential instance whose identifier is higher than the identifier of the solution instance
4 consist of obtaining the test identifier from the identifier of the potential instance, by
5 subtracting one from its last number if the latter is different from 0, or by deleting this
6 last number if it is null.

1 61. A method according to claim 49 characterized in that the steps of
2 determining the first potential instance that verifies the simplified filter and the first
3 potential instance whose identifier is higher than the identifier of the solution instance
4 consist of obtaining the test identifier from the identifier of the potential instance, by
5 subtracting one from its last number if the latter is different from 0, or by deleting this
6 last number if it is null.

1 62. A system for processing a complex request comprising at least one
2 SNMP agent (5) of a resource machine (2b) of a computer system (1) to which the
3 complex request is transmitted from a complex protocol manager (4) of an
4 application machine (2a), each agent (5) managing attribute tables belonging to the
5 resource machine (2b), instances of the tables being referenced by identifiers
6 comprising indexes, the system comprising an integrating agent (6) for processing
7 the complex request,

8 means for transforming a complex filter (F1) derived from the complex request
9 addressed to agent (5) from the manager (4) of the application machine (2a) into a
10 simplified filter (F2) comprising only conditions on indexes, the complex filter (F2)
11 adapted to let through all SNMP requests whose responses could verify the
12 simplified filter (F1), but filter out all SNMP requests whose responses cannot in any
13 way verify the simplified filter (F1);

14 means for limiting SNMP requests to those that comply with the complex filter
15 (F2);

16 means for transmitting said limited SNMP requests to the SNMP agent (5) of the
17 resource machine (2b) through the network (3); and

18 means for applying the simplified filter (F1) to the responses obtained to the
19 SNMP requests;
20 to thereby process said complex request and to optimize the number of the SNMP
21 requests transmitted through the network (3).

1 63. The system for processing as set forth in claim 62 further
2 comprising means for determining the first potential instance that verifies the
3 simplified filter (F2) wherein the identifier first below the identifier of the
4 potential instance determined is a test identifier.

1 64. The system for processing as set forth in claim 63 wherein, using
2 an SNMP request, there is provided means to find the instance of the table
3 having as its identifier the one that follows the test identifier and if no instance
4 is found, terminating the processing method, if an instance is found, naming
5 the instance found a solution instance; and means for determining whether

6 the solution instance is part of the response to the complex request processed
7 by verifying the complex filter (F1) and upon verification of the complex Sfilter
8 (F1), applying the complex filter (F1) to the solution instance;

1 65. The system for processing as set forth in claim 64 further comprising
2 means for transforming the complex filter (F1) into a simplified filter having the form
3 (OR
4 (AND
5 condition on index 1: C₁₍₁₎
6 condition on index 2: C₂₍₁₎
7 ...
8 condition on index n: C_{n(1)}
9)
10 ...
11 (AND
12 condition on index 1: C_{1(i)}
13 condition on index 2: C_{2(i)}
14 ...
15 condition on index n: C_{n(i)}
16)
17 ...
18).--

IN THE ABSTRACT:

Cancel the Abstract at page 30 in its entirety and substitute the following new Abstract: